Case 1:23-cv-01223-JLT-HBK Document 1 Filed 08/1

Name

SAMUEL DEREK JACKSON

Street Address

5601 NOLDER WAY

City and County

SACRAMENTO

State and Zip Code CALIFORNIA

95822

Telephone Number (936) 553-7172

AUG 14 2023

CLERK, UNS. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

sinac1269@gmail.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JACKSON, SAMUEL DEREK PRO SE

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

-against-

CYNTHIA ZIMMER

VELDA MURILLO

DARRELL WORTHY

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

Complaint for a Civil Case

Case No. 2:23-CV-712 DAD AC (15)

(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)

☐ Yes Ď No Jury Trial:

(check one)

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

| Name | SAMUEL DEREK JACKSON | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Street Address | 5601 NOLDER WAY | | | |
| City and County | SACRAMENTO | | | |
| State and Zip Code | CALIFORNIA 95822 | | | |
| Telephone Number | (936)55 3- 7172 sjnac1269@gmail.com | | | |

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known). Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

| Name | CYNTHIA ZIMMER | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Job or Title (if known) | KERN COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY | | | |
| Street Address | 1215 TRUXTUN AVE 4TH FLOOR | | | |
| City and County | BAKERSFIELD KERN COUNTY | | | |
| State and Zip Code | CALIFORNIA 93301 | | | |
| Telephone Number | 661-868-2340 | | | |
| | | | | |

Defendant No. 2

| Name | VELDA MURRILO | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Job or Title (if known) | SOCIAL WORKER | | |
| Street Address | 7604 ANGELA AVE | | |
| City and County | BAKERSFIELD KERN COUNTY | | |
| State and Zip Code | CALIFORNIA 93308 | | |
| Telephone Number | 661-331-8483 | | |

Case 1:23-cv-01223-JLT-HBK Document 1 Filed 08/14/23 Page 3 of 8

| Defendant No. 3 | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Name | DARRELL WORTHY | | | |
| Job or Title | JANITOR | | | |
| (if known) | | | | |
| Street Address | 2005 FAITH AVE | | | |
| City and County | BAKERSFIELD KERN COUNTY | | | |
| State and Zip Code | CALIFORNIA 93394 | | | |
| Telephone Number | 661-833-0934 | | | |
| Defendant No. 4 | | | | |
| Name | | | | |
| Job or Title | | | | |
| (if known) | | | | |
| Street Address | | | | |
| City and County | | | | |
| State and Zip Code | | | | |
| Telephone Number | | | | |
| Basis for Jurisdiction | | | | |
| Federal Courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in Federal Court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one state sues a citizen of another state or nation and the amount at stake is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case. In a diversity of citizenship case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same state as any plaintiff. | | | | |
| What is the basis for Federal Court ju | What is the basis for Federal Court jurisdiction? (check all that apply) | | | |
| ☑ Federal question | ☐ Diversity of citizenship | | | |

II.

Fill out the paragraphs in this section that apply to this case.

| If the I | Basis fo | or Jurisdiction Is a Federal Question | |
|----------|----------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | - | ic federal statutes, federal treaties, and/or pution that are at issue in this case. | provisions of the United |
| VIOL | ATION | S OF PLAINTIFF 5th, 6th& 14th | n Amendment rights |
| If the I | Basis fo | or Jurisdiction Is Diversity of Citizenship |) |
| 1. | The Pl | aintiff(s) | |
| | a. | If the plaintiff is an individual | |
| | | The plaintiff, (name) the State of (name) | |
| | b. | If the plaintiff is a corporation | |
| | | under the laws of the State of (name) and has its principal place of business in the | , is incorporated he State of (name) |
| | | re than one plaintiff is named in the compla broviding the same information for each add | |
| 2. | The D | efendant(s) | |
| | a. | If the defendant is an individual | |
| | | The defendant, (name) the State of (name) | |

| | | b. | If the defendant is a corporation |
|------|---|--------------|--|
| | | | The defendant, (name), is |
| | | | incorporated under the laws of the State of (name) |
| | | | , and has its principal place of |
| | | | business in the State of (name) Or is |
| | | | incorporated under the laws of (foreign nation) |
| | | | , and has its principal place of |
| | | | business in (name) |
| | | (If m | ore than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an |
| | * | ` • | ional page providing the same information for each additional |
| | | | ndant.) |
| | 3. | The A | Amount in Controversy |
| | | The a | amount in controversy—the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant |
| | or the amount at stake—is more than \$75,000, not counting interest | | |
| | | | costs of court, because (explain): |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| III. | Statement of | f Clain | 1 |
| | Write a short | and pl | ain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as |
| | | _ | he facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the damages or other |
| | | | how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that |
| | • | | harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including the dates and places |
| | - | | or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim |
| | and write a sl | hort an | d plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach |
| | additional pa | ges if n | needed. Defendant, SAMUEL DEREK JACKSON, by and thru ex parte |
| | requests | a col | llateral review on claim of actual innocence challenging |
| | | | ainst his constitutional rights. |
| | 1.14th Ame | nd.du | ne process; insufficient evidence-by failure a) to testify or psychological abuse or any bodily harm, b) the forced |
| | to any phy | sical and | duress applied by the grandfather d)manufactured evidence |
| | and e)alle | ged v | duress applied by the grandfather d)manufactured evidence victims mother refuted. (42 U.S.C. sect. 1983) |
| | allof the | tes | r trial: the court abused its discretion in a) crediting timony for the prosecution including hearsay ridence and matter of law tailing to credit any of the testimony for |
| | b)erred in | the | ch as that of Crucial corroborating eyewitness. |
| | 3)5th Amen | d.mii | ch as that of Ctucial corroborating eyewitness. |

IV. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages.

| (see attachment | ts) | |
|----------------------|------|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

V. **Certification and Closing**

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where caserelated papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: August \$2,2023.

Somuel D. Hoc Signature of Plaintiff

PRO SE Printed Name of Plaintiff SAMUEL DEREK JACKSON

IV.Relief

According to CA Penal Code sect.4900-factual findings and credibility determinations established the court basis for granting writ of habeas corpus, motion for new trial or certificate of factual innocence(recanted testimony). The defendant is submitting the motion to challenge his wrengful conviction per PC\$1473.7 and PC\$1473.7(a)(2) by offering presentation of newly discovered evidence(see attachment) In the case of John Stoll his conviction was overturned bosed anthe recanted statements. In recent years, 18 defendants have been released found arrors, including prosecutorial misconduct and unreliable techniques during questionings. Superior Court Judge John Kelly based his issues on 1. whether law enforcement interview techniques resulted in unreliable testimony; 2) false testimony; and 3) tape recorded usage. By submitting this motion for new evidence as well as review of the previous transcript will assist in overturning my conviction. A movent claiming actual innocence must present new reliable evidence-whether it be exculpatory , scientific evidence, trustworthy eyewithess accts. or critical physical evidence that was not presented at trial. Schlut v Delo 513 U.S. 298,324(1995). The court will then consider all of the evidence and make a probabilistic determination about what reasonable properly instructed jurors would do. Id at 329. In Bousley v U.S., 523 U.S. 614,623-24(1998), the Supreme Court said that actual innocence is factual innocence. Bousley held that .. to establish actual innocences petitioner must demonstrate that , in light of all Swidence, It is more likely than not that no reasonable juror would have convicted him. McQuggin v Perkins, 133 S.Ct.1924, (2013). The actual innocence exception in the court's view is a ... fundamental miscarriage of justice exception grounded in the equitable discretion of habeas courts to see that the federal constitutional errors did not result in the incarceration of innocent persons. In Crabtree v Butte County the Superior Ct. and DA's office(conducted)their own investigation and agreed the the conviction should be reversed due to false testimony and conceded the motion to vacate.. Crabtree was granted compensation from the CA Victim Compensation Board forthe 3,143days confied due to wrongful conviction. Separately, Kern County agreed to pay \$5m for his wrongful prosecution, imprisonment and violation of his civil rights. Under CA Penal Code sect. 1473.7, the defendant in this cause similarly served a 14 1/2 years unjustly and loss his liberty.

In Sacramento, the Victim Compensation Board and Government Claims Board ..is supposed to see that that wrongfully convicted defendant so who are innocent receive..\$140 per day (amended 2016 by CALV.C.B) for each day spent behind bars.

To whom it may consern,

I Chandra Venessa Moore swear that The Charges Brought Against Samuel D. Jackson In volving me as a victim are false. I write this Statment of Sound mind.

Chardra moore

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate

is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California County of Santa Clara

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 5 day of July, 2013 by Chandra MODIE

proven to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.



